

# The role of regional government in the development of international academic cooperation in health care: Experiences from the Lublin region

**Marcin Krotkiewicz<sup>1</sup>, Tatjana Ille<sup>2,3</sup>, Rafał Patryn<sup>4</sup>, Agata Szynkaruk<sup>5</sup>, Alina Stachyra<sup>5</sup>, Zeeshan Zulfiqar<sup>2,6</sup>,**

<sup>1</sup>ORGANIZATIONAL AND LEGAL DEPARTMENT, MARSHAL OFFICE OF THE LUBELSKIE VOIVODESHIP IN LUBLIN, LUBLIN, POLAND

<sup>2</sup>COLLEGE OF MEDICINE, GULF MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, AJMAN, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

<sup>3</sup>THUMBAY INSTITUTE OF POPULATION HEALTH, GULF MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, AJMAN, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

<sup>4</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL LAW, MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF LUBLIN, LUBLIN, POLAND

<sup>5</sup>DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION, MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF LUBLIN, LUBLIN, POLAND

<sup>6</sup>STUDENTS SCIENTIFIC ASSOCIATION AT DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION, MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF LUBLIN, LUBLIN, POLAND

## ABSTRACT

Health care systems increasingly require cooperation between public administration, medical universities, and international partners. Regional governments can act as integrators and coordinators in this process. The aim of this paper is to present a model of cooperation between the Marshal's Office of the Lublin Voivodeship, the Medical University of Lublin, and Gulf Medical University. The article presents the perspective of regional administration and focuses on the practical aspects of initiating and supporting international academic collaboration. The role of regional government in creating organizational and institutional frameworks for joint activities in medical education and health workforce development is described. Attention is also given to the importance of such partnerships for improving the quality of health care services and for the internationalization of the academic environment. The presented model of cooperation may serve as a useful reference for other regions seeking effective forms of collaboration between science, public administration, and clinical practice.

**KEY WORDS:** public health, international cooperation, medical education, healthcare systems

Wiad Lek. 2026;79(3):592-596. doi: 10.36740/WLek/218258 

## INTRODUCTION

International cooperation in the field of health care is of great importance today. In a globalized world, health problems often extend beyond the borders of a single country. Cooperation between institutions and universities from different countries can form the basis for better education, research, and the development of new clinical solutions [1]. Academic and research partnerships support the exchange of knowledge and resources. Such cooperation can foster the development of competencies of universities and organizations on both sides of the partnership [1,2]. Lau et al. emphasized that joint research projects create opportunities for mutual exchange of experience and research activities in response to local and global health challenges [2]. Regional governments, as entities responsible for health policy, can act as coordinators of such partnerships. They can support not only the initiation of contacts but also the creation of conditions for sustainable institutional relationships. This role may be particularly

effective in the context of medical education and health workforce training, with tangible benefits for the health care system.

## AIM

This article presents a model of cooperation between three institutions: the Marshal's Office of the Lublin Voivodeship, the Medical University of Lublin, and Gulf Medical University. The model illustrates how regional government can support international academic cooperation and the benefits it brings to the medical and educational communities.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The presented analysis is based on a qualitative case study approach focusing on institutional cooperation between the Marshal's Office of the Lublin Voivodeship, the Medical University of Lublin, and Gulf Medical University. Data were gathered from official strategic

documents, regional development plans, institutional reports, and interview summaries from key stakeholders involved in the tripartite cooperation model. Document analysis was used to trace how the cooperation developed over time, to identify the main governance structures supporting it, and to examine the role of regional authorities in promoting international academic collaboration. In addition, semi-structured interviews with administrative representatives and academic staff were carried out to better understand the motivations, challenges, and perceived outcomes of the partnership. The interview material was analyzed thematically and compared with the document findings in order to strengthen the credibility of the results. This approach made it possible to explore in detail the institutional relationships and governance mechanisms shaping the Lublin region's model of international academic cooperation.

## REVIEW

### THE ROLE OF REGIONAL GOVERNMENT IN THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

With the ongoing decentralization of health care systems, the role of regional government has become stronger. Increasingly, the regional level is responsible for strategic planning and coordination of health-related activities. This includes both the organization of services and the support of medical education and workforce development [3]. Research indicates that effective governance at the regional level helps align health policy with local needs. It also allows for more flexible responses to changing demographic and epidemiological challenges [4]. In this setting, regional government acts as an intermediary between central administration, academia, and clinical practice. An important area of activity for regional authorities is cooperation with medical universities. Such partnerships enable educational goals to be linked with the real needs of the health care system. The literature emphasizes that integrating health planning with workforce training contributes to improving the quality of patient care [5]. Regional government may also support the internationalization of universities and health institutions. These activities include creating formal frameworks, providing organizational support, and stabilizing long-term partnerships. Analyses of public health governance suggest that engagement of regional administration increases the sustainability of international cooperation and its practical relevance [6]. In this context, regional government moves beyond a purely administrative function. It becomes an active

participant in health system development. Its role involves aligning the interests of different stakeholders and creating conditions for cooperation based on trust and shared goals.

### ACADEMIC AND CLINICAL POTENTIAL OF THE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF LUBLIN

Medical universities play a key role in the functioning of health care systems. They are responsible for training the workforce, advancing research, and transferring knowledge into clinical practice. Their importance goes beyond education alone and includes a real impact on the quality of patient care [7]. The Medical University of Lublin serves as a leading academic and clinical center in the region. The institution combines teaching activities with hospital-based practice and scientific research. This model supports the training of physicians and other health professionals in conditions that reflect the actual needs of the health care system. The literature emphasizes that strong academic capacity is essential for the development of modern medicine. Medical schools that actively participate in research and international collaboration better prepare graduates to work in a rapidly changing clinical environment [8]. An important aspect of medical university activity is social responsibility. The concept of social accountability of medical schools assumes a close alignment between education, research, and the health needs of the population [9]. In this context, cooperation with public administration and international institutions becomes a tool for fulfilling this mission. The development of academic potential requires stable institutional conditions. Support from regional government can strengthen a university's capacity to undertake international initiatives. This applies to both educational projects and scientific research. Such activities increase the visibility of the academic center and contribute to the long-term development of the region.

### THE INTERNATIONAL DIMENSION OF ACADEMIC COOPERATION

The internationalization of medical universities is now one of the key directions of their development. Cooperation with foreign partners contributes to improving the quality of education and research. It also helps prepare health professionals to work in culturally and organizationally diverse health care environments [10]. International partnerships enable the exchange of educational and clinical experience. The literature emphasizes that such relationships strengthen the capacity of institutions on both sides of the collaboration. This

applies to educational programs as well as joint research initiatives [11]. In this context, cooperation with Gulf Medical University plays an important role. The institution operates within a dynamically developing health care system. Experience gained in an international environment may complement the European perspective. The exchange of knowledge and best practices supports a better understanding of different models of education and health care organization. Research indicates that effective international collaboration requires clearly defined objectives and stable institutional frameworks [12]. Engagement of public entities is also crucial, as they can ensure continuity and coordination of activities. Without such support, cooperation often remains limited to isolated and short-term initiatives. Collaboration between medical universities from different regions of the world may generate systemic benefits. These include the development of teaching staff competencies, increased academic mobility, and improved preparation of graduates for the challenges of modern medicine. In this perspective, international cooperation becomes an important element of regional health system development strategies.

### THE TRIPARTITE COOPERATION MODEL AS AN EXAMPLE OF GOOD PRACTICE

Effective cooperation in health care requires a clear division of roles. This is particularly important in projects carried out by entities with different competencies and responsibilities. A tripartite model that includes public administration as well as domestic and international academic partners allows for better coordination of activities [13]. In the case analyzed here, regional government acts as the initiator and stabilizing force of cooperation. It provides organizational frameworks and ensures continuity. As a result, collaboration is not limited to single events or short-term projects. It can develop in a structured and long-term manner. The medical university contributes scientific and educational capacity. It provides access to expert staff and educational and clinical infrastructure. The international partner broadens perspective and enables exchange of experience within a different health care system. This combination of competencies supports the creation of projects with practical relevance. The literature on public health governance indicates that multisector partnerships increase the effectiveness of systemic actions. Their success depends on strong leadership and clearly defined objectives [14]. In this view, the role of public administration extends beyond formal responsibilities and includes active management of collaborative processes. The presented tripartite coop-

eration model aligns with the concept of an integrated approach to health system development. It combines education, clinical practice, and health policy. It may serve as a reference for other regions seeking effective forms of institutional collaboration.

### DISCUSSION

The presented cooperation model shows that effective partnerships in health care require the involvement of multiple stakeholders. Collaboration between universities alone, even at the international level, may be insufficient. The lack of stable institutional frameworks often limits sustainability and real system impact [18]. Without administrative anchoring, many initiatives remain dependent on individual engagement and may lose continuity over time. Engagement of regional government may reduce this risk. Public administration has tools that allow coordination of activities and ensure continuity. The literature emphasizes that strong leadership and clear governance structures are essential for the success of complex health initiatives [19]. In practical terms, this includes setting strategic priorities, supporting resource allocation, and facilitating long-term institutional dialogue. These functions help maintain operational stability and reinforce shared accountability among partners. Another important dimension relates to policy coherence. Academic collaboration may generate valuable outcomes, but their system-level relevance depends on alignment with regional health priorities. Government involvement supports integration of educational and research initiatives with broader health system objectives. This integration strengthens the translation of academic outputs into practical benefits for patient care and workforce planning. The model also highlights the importance of trust-building between institutions. Long-term cooperation requires predictability, transparency, and mutual recognition of institutional autonomy. Administrative engagement may serve as a stabilizing factor that mitigates uncertainty and reinforces confidence among participants. Such conditions foster open exchange of expertise and facilitate sustainable collaboration beyond single projects. It should also be noted that international cooperation is not without challenges. Cultural, organizational, and systemic differences may complicate the achievement of shared goals. These may include variations in regulatory frameworks, educational structures, or clinical governance models. However, research indicates that clearly defined roles and a shared vision of development can mitigate these difficulties [20]. Structured communication and regular evaluation of progress further support adaptive problem solving. Exposure

to diverse operational contexts may itself be beneficial. Interaction with alternative approaches to education and health system organization broadens institutional awareness and stimulates innovation. This process encourages reflective evaluation of existing practices and may support modernization of training and service delivery models. Such outcomes illustrate the indirect but meaningful impact of international collaboration. The collaborative framework also enhances circulation of knowledge between academia and administration. Bidirectional communication enables scientific insight to inform policy development, while policy priorities shape academic focus. This dynamic contributes to a more responsive and context-sensitive health system environment. Over time, such interaction may strengthen institutional learning capacity. An important aspect of the discussed model is its potential reproducibility. Although cooperation between the Medical University of Lublin and Gulf Medical University is shaped by local conditions, the mechanisms presented here may be adapted in other regions. This applies particularly to the role of regional government as an entity supporting and integrating academic and clinical activities [18,19]. Successful transferability depends on governance capacity, institutional maturity, and commitment of stakeholders. It is equally important to acknowledge potential limitations. The present discussion is based on an institutional perspective and does not include quantitative outcome evaluation. Future research could examine measurable indicators such as educational outcomes, mobility patterns, or clinical impact. Such analyses would strengthen the evidence base for the effectiveness of similar cooperation models. Discussion of this model fits within the broader debate on health system governance. Increasing attention

is given to integrated approaches that connect education, research, and clinical practice. In this context, tripartite partnerships may represent an important element of modern health policy. Their continued development may contribute to more resilient health systems capable of responding to demographic change, workforce shortages, and technological transformation.

## CONCLUSIONS

The presented cooperation model shows that regional government can play a meaningful role in the development of health care. This role is not limited to administrative functions. It also includes initiating and supporting sustainable academic partnerships. Such an approach promotes stability and continuity of activities. Cooperation between medical universities and international partners brings educational and organizational benefits. Exchange of experience and exposure to different models of training and clinical practice strengthen the competencies of health professionals. These effects are long term and may influence the quality of patient care. An important component of the model is a clear division of roles among partners. Regional government provides institutional frameworks and coordination. Universities are responsible for educational and scientific activities. This structure supports effectiveness and reduces the risk of fragmented initiatives. The experience presented indicates that tripartite cooperation can serve as an effective tool of regional health policy. The model may be adapted in other regions when local organizational and systemic conditions are considered. This requires deliberate engagement of public administration and a long-term vision for health system development.

## REFERENCES

1. Saltman RB, Ferroussier-Davis O. The concept of stewardship in health policy. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2000;78(6):732-9. doi: 10.1590/S0042-96862000000600005. [DOI](#)
2. Lau CY, Wang C, Orsega S, Tramont EC, Koita O, Polis MA, Siddiqui S. International Collaborative Research Partnerships: Blending Science with Management and Diplomacy. *J AIDS Clin Res.* 2014 Dec;5(12):385. doi: 10.4172/2155-6113.1000385. [DOI](#)
3. Barbazza E, Tello JE. A review of health governance: definitions, dimensions and tools to govern. *Health Policy.* 2014 May;116(1):1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2014.01.007. [DOI](#)
4. Mcintyre D, Meheus F, Røttingen JA. What level of domestic government health expenditure should we aspire to for universal health coverage? *Health Econ Policy Law.* 2017 Apr;12(2):125-137. doi: 10.1017/S1744133116000414. [DOI](#)
5. Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, Cohen J, Crisp N, Evans T, Fineberg H, Garcia P, Ke Y, Kelley P, Kistnasamy B, Meleis A, Naylor D, Pablos-Mendez A, Reddy S, Scrimshaw S, Sepulveda J, Serwadda D, Zurayk H. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *Lancet.* 2010 Dec 4;376(9756):1923-58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61854-5. [DOI](#)
6. Changing mindsets: strategy on health policy and systems research. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012. <https://www.emro.who.int/rpc/rpc-infocus/changing-mindsets.html> (Access: January 2026).
7. Langlois EV, Ranson MK, Bärnighausen T, Bosch-Capblanch X, Daniels K, El-Jardali F, Ghaffar A, Grimshaw J, Haines A, Lavis JN, Lewin S, Meng Q, Oliver S, Pantoja T, Straus S, Shemilt I, Tovey D, Tugwell P, Waddington H, Wilson M, Yuan B, Røttingen JA. Advancing the field of health systems research synthesis. *Syst Rev.* 2015 Jul 10;4:90. doi: 10.1186/s13643-015-0080-9. [DOI](#)

8. Cooke J. A framework to evaluate research capacity building in health care. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2005 Oct 27;6:44. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-6-44. [DOI](#)
9. Muir Gray JA. Evidence-based Healthcare. How to make health policy and management decisions. Edinburgh, Churchill Livingstone; 1997. doi:10.1136/bmj.314.7080.615a [DOI](#)
10. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* 2020 Oct 17;396(10258):1204-1222. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9. Erratum in: *Lancet.* 2020 Nov 14;396(10262):1562. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32226-1 [DOI](#)
11. Yarmoshuk AN, Cole DC, Mwangi M, et al. Reciprocity in international interuniversity global health partnerships. *High Educ.* 2020;79(3):395-414. doi:10.1007/s10734-019-00416-1. [DOI](#)
12. Koplan JP, Bond TC, Merson MH, Reddy KS, Rodriguez MH, Sewankambo NK, Wasserheit JN; Consortium of Universities for Global Health Executive Board. Towards a common definition of global health. *Lancet.* 2009 Jun 6;373(9679):1993-5. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60332-9. [DOI](#)
13. Bennett S, Glandon D, Rasanathan K. Governing multisectoral action for health in low-income and middle-income countries: unpacking the problem and rising to the challenge. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2018 Oct 10;3(Suppl 4):e000880. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000880. PMID: 30364411; PMCID: PMC6195144. [DOI](#)
14. Kickbusch I, Behrendt T. Implementing a Health 2020 vision: governance for health in the 21st century: making it happen. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. 2013 <https://iris.who.int/items/f5f7f578-fd11-4fcd-af38-6d1264e93aff> (Access: December 2025)
15. Frenk J, Moon S. Governance challenges in global health. *N Engl J Med.* 2013 Mar 7;368(10):936-42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr1109339. [DOI](#)
16. Boelen C, Heck JE. Defining and measuring the social accountability of medical schools. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1995. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/defining-and-measuring-the-social-accountability-of-medical-schools> (Access: December 2025).
17. Hanney SR, Gonzalez-Block MA, Buxton MJ, Kogan M. The utilisation of health research in policy-making: concepts, examples and methods of assessment. *Health Res Policy Syst.* 2003 Jan 13;1(1):2. doi: 10.1186/1478-4505-1-2. [DOI](#)
18. Milbank Memorial Fund/The Cochrane Collaboration Informing Judgement: Case Studies of Health Policy and Research In Six Countries. New York. 2001.
19. Rasanathan K, Cloete K, Gitahi G, Gómez-Dantés O, Saminarsih D, Swaminathan S, Takian A, Røttingen JA. Functions of the global health system in a new era. *Nat Med.* 2025 Nov;31(11):3605-3608. doi: 10.1038/s41591-025-03936-9. [DOI](#)
20. Bradley EH, Curry LA, Taylor LA, Pallas SW, Talbert-Slagle K, Yuan C, Fox A, Minhas D, Ciccone DK, Berg D, Pérez-Escamilla R. A model for scale up of family health innovations in low-income and middle-income settings: a mixed methods study. *BMJ Open.* 2012 Aug 24;2(4):e000987. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-000987. [DOI](#)

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The Authors declare no conflict of interest

## CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

**Agata Szynkaruk**

Department of Rehabilitation,

Medical University of Lublin,

Lublin, Poland

e-mail: agata.szynkaruk@umlub.edu.pl

## ORCID AND CONTRIBUTIONSHIP

Marcin Krotkiewicz: 0009-0001-1642-5172 [A](#) [B](#) [D](#) [E](#) [F](#)

Tatjana Ille: 0000-0001-7028-4529 [B](#) [D](#) [E](#) [F](#)

Rafał Patryn: 0000-0003-0022-5413 [B](#) [D](#) [F](#)

Agata Szynkaruk: 0009-0006-9723-0786 [D](#) [E](#)

Alina Stachyra: 0009-0008-8633-5245 [B](#) [D](#)

Zeeshan Zulfiqar: 0009-0001-8967-1737 [D](#) [E](#)

[A](#) – Work concept and design, [B](#) – Data collection and analysis, [C](#) – Responsibility for statistical analysis, [D](#) – Writing the article, [E](#) – Critical review, [F](#) – Final approval of the article

RECEIVED: 05.12.2025

ACCEPTED: 19.02.2026

